

TWO PROPOSITIONS INVOLVING THE STANDARD REPRESENTATION OF S_n

SHANSHAN DING

ABSTRACT. We present here two standalone results from a forthcoming work on the analysis of Markov chains using the representation theory of S_n . First, we give explicit formulas for the decompositions of tensor powers of the defining and standard representations of S_n . Secondly, we prove that any Markov chain on S_n starting with one fixed point and whose increment distributions are class measures will always average exactly one fixed point.

The defining, or permutation, representation of S_n is the n -dimensional representation ϱ where

$$(1) \quad (\varrho(\sigma))_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \sigma(j) = i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since the fixed points of σ can be read off of the matrix diagonal, the character of ϱ at σ , $\chi_\varrho(\sigma)$, is precisely the number of fixed points of σ . The irreducible representations of S_n are parametrized by the partitions of n , and ϱ decomposes as $S^{(n-1,1)} \oplus S^{(n)}$. Note that $\chi_{S^{(n-1,1)}}(\sigma)$ is one less than the number of fixed points of σ . In the terminology of [FH91], we call the $(n-1)$ -dimensional irrep $S^{(n-1,1)}$ the standard representation of S_n .

Our first proposition gives a nice formula for the decomposition of tensor powers of ϱ into irreps, i.e. the coefficients $a_{\lambda,r}$ in the expression

$$(2) \quad \varrho^{\otimes r} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} a_{\lambda,r} S^\lambda := \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} (S^\lambda)^{\oplus a_{\lambda,r}}.$$

Proposition 1. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ and $1 \leq r \leq n - \lambda_2$. The multiplicity of S^λ in the irreducible representation decomposition of $\varrho^{\otimes r}$ is given by*

$$(3) \quad a_{\lambda,r} = f^{\bar{\lambda}} \sum_{i=|\bar{\lambda}|}^r \binom{i}{|\bar{\lambda}|} \left\{ \begin{matrix} r \\ i \end{matrix} \right\},$$

where $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots)$ with weight $|\bar{\lambda}|$, $f^{\bar{\lambda}}$ is the number of standard Young tableaux of shape $\bar{\lambda}$, and $\left\{ \begin{matrix} r \\ i \end{matrix} \right\}$ is a Stirling number of the second kind.

Proof. The heavy lifting had already been done by Goupil and Chauve, who derived in [GC06] the generating function

$$(4) \quad \sum_{r \geq |\bar{\lambda}|} a_{\lambda,r} \frac{x^r}{r!} = \frac{f^{\bar{\lambda}}}{|\bar{\lambda}|!} e^{e^x - 1} (e^x - 1)^{|\bar{\lambda}|}.$$

By (24b) and (24f) in Chapter 1 of [Sta97],

$$(5) \quad \sum_{s \geq j} \left\{ \begin{matrix} s \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{x^s}{s!} = \frac{(e^x - 1)^j}{j!}$$

and

$$(6) \quad \sum_{t \geq 0} B_t \frac{x^t}{t!} = e^{e^x - 1},$$

where $B_0 := 1$ and $B_t = \sum_{q=1}^t \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} t \\ q \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ is the t -th Bell number, so we obtain from (4) that

$$(7) \quad \frac{a_{\lambda,r}}{r!} = f^{\bar{\lambda}} \sum_{s+t=r} \frac{B_t}{s!t!} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} s \\ |\bar{\lambda}| \end{smallmatrix} \right\},$$

and thus

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{a_{\lambda,r}}{f^{\bar{\lambda}}} &= \sum_{t=0}^{r-|\bar{\lambda}|} B_t \binom{r}{t} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} r-t \\ |\bar{\lambda}| \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ |\bar{\lambda}| \end{smallmatrix} \right\} + \sum_{t=1}^{r-|\bar{\lambda}|} \sum_{q=1}^t \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} t \\ q \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \binom{r}{t} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} r-t \\ |\bar{\lambda}| \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ |\bar{\lambda}| \end{smallmatrix} \right\} + \sum_{q=1}^{r-|\bar{\lambda}|} \sum_{t=q}^{r-|\bar{\lambda}|} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} t \\ q \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \binom{r}{t} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} r-t \\ |\bar{\lambda}| \end{smallmatrix} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (24.1.3, II.A) of [AS65],

$$(9) \quad \sum_{t=q}^{r-|\bar{\lambda}|} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} t \\ q \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \binom{r}{t} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} r-t \\ |\bar{\lambda}| \end{smallmatrix} \right\} = \binom{q+|\bar{\lambda}|}{|\bar{\lambda}|} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ q+|\bar{\lambda}| \end{smallmatrix} \right\},$$

so that

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{a_{\lambda,r}}{f^{\bar{\lambda}}} &= \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ |\bar{\lambda}| \end{smallmatrix} \right\} + \sum_{q=1}^{r-|\bar{\lambda}|} \binom{q+|\bar{\lambda}|}{|\bar{\lambda}|} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ q+|\bar{\lambda}| \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ |\bar{\lambda}| \end{smallmatrix} \right\} + \sum_{i=|\bar{\lambda}|+1}^r \binom{i}{|\bar{\lambda}|} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ i \end{smallmatrix} \right\} = \sum_{i=|\bar{\lambda}|}^r \binom{i}{|\bar{\lambda}|} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ i \end{smallmatrix} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

as was to be shown. □

Now, let $b_{\lambda,r}$ be the multiplicities such that

$$(11) \quad (S^{(n-1,1)})^{\otimes r} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} b_{\lambda,r} S^{\lambda}.$$

Goupil and Chauve also derived the generating function

$$(12) \quad \sum_{r \geq |\bar{\lambda}|} b_{\lambda,r} \frac{x^r}{r!} = \frac{f^{\bar{\lambda}}}{|\bar{\lambda}|!} e^{e^x - x - 1} (e^x - 1)^{|\bar{\lambda}|},$$

so from Proposition 1 we can obtain a formula for the decomposition of $(S^{(n-1,1)})^{\otimes r}$ as well.

Corollary 1. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ and $1 \leq r \leq n - \lambda_2$. The multiplicity of S^λ in the irreducible representation decomposition of $(S^{(n-1,1)})^{\otimes r}$ is given by*

$$(13) \quad b_{\lambda,r} = f^{\bar{\lambda}} \sum_{s=|\bar{\lambda}|}^r (-1)^{r-s} \binom{r}{s} \left(\sum_{i=|\bar{\lambda}|}^s \binom{i}{|\bar{\lambda}|} \left\{ \begin{matrix} s \\ i \end{matrix} \right\} \right).$$

Proof. Comparing (12) with (4) gives

$$(14) \quad \sum_{r \geq |\bar{\lambda}|} b_{\lambda,r} \frac{x^r}{r!} = \left(\sum_{s \geq |\bar{\lambda}|} a_{\lambda,s} \frac{x^s}{s!} \right) e^{-x} = \left(\sum_{s \geq |\bar{\lambda}|} a_{\lambda,s} \frac{x^s}{s!} \right) \left(\sum_{t \geq 0} \frac{(-x)^t}{t!} \right),$$

so that

$$(15) \quad \frac{b_{\lambda,r}}{r!} = \sum_{s+t=r} \frac{(-1)^t a_{\lambda,s}}{s!t!} = \sum_{s=|\bar{\lambda}|}^r \frac{(-1)^{r-s}}{s!(r-s)!} \left(f^{\bar{\lambda}} \sum_{i=|\bar{\lambda}|}^s \binom{i}{|\bar{\lambda}|} \left\{ \begin{matrix} s \\ i \end{matrix} \right\} \right),$$

and the result follows. \square

Remark. Corollary 1 is very similar to Proposition 2 of [GC06], but our result is slightly cleaner, as it does not involve associated Stirling numbers of the second kind.

For our second proposition, we use $S^{(n-1,1)}$ to prove a martingale-like property about the number of fixed points for certain Markov chains on S_n . Before doing so, however, some preliminaries are in order.

Let μ be a measure on a finite group G . The Fourier transform of μ is a matrix-valued map on the irreps of G defined by $\hat{\mu}(\rho) = \sum_{g \in G} \mu(g) \rho(g)$. The convolution of two measures μ and ν on G is the measure defined by

$$(16) \quad \mu * \nu = \sum_{h \in G} \mu(gh^{-1}) \nu(h),$$

and the Fourier transform transforms convolutions to pointwise products: $\widehat{\mu * \nu} = \hat{\mu} \hat{\nu}$. If μ is a class measure, then for every irrep ρ of G , we have that

$$(17) \quad \hat{\mu}(\rho) = \left(\frac{1}{d_\rho} \sum_g \mu(g) \chi_\rho(g) \right) I_{d_\rho},$$

where d_ρ is the dimension of ρ . For a detailed introduction to non-commutative Fourier analysis in the context of Markov chain theory, see Chapter 16 of [Beh00].

Let E_μ denote expectation with respect to μ , and let ρ be an irrep of S_n , then as observed in Chapter 3D of [Dia88],

$$(18) \quad E_\mu(\chi_\rho) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \mu(\sigma) \text{tr}(\rho(\sigma)) = \text{tr} \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \mu(\sigma) \rho(\sigma) \right) = \text{tr}(\hat{\mu}(\rho)).$$

We can now state and prove the proposition, which says that if a Markov chain on S_n whose increment distributions are class measures starts with one fixed point, then it will always average exactly one fixed point.

Proposition 2. *Form Markov chain $\{X_i\}$ on S_n as follows: let X_0 be the identity, and set $X_1 = \tau_1 X_0$, where τ_1 is selected according to any class measure supported on the set of permutations with one fixed point. For $k \geq 2$, set $X_k = \tau_k X_{k-1}$, where τ_k is selected according to any class measure on S_n (the measure can be different for each k). Then the expected number of fixed points of X_k is one for all $k \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let ν_1 be a class measure supported on the set of permutations with one fixed point, $\nu_2, \nu_3, \dots, \nu_k$ be class measures on S_n , and define $\mu_k = \nu_k * \dots * \nu_2 * \nu_1$. By (18),

$$(19) \quad E_{\mu_k}(\chi_{S^{(n-1,1)}}) = \text{tr}[\widehat{\mu_k}(S^{(n-1,1)})] = \text{tr}[\widehat{\nu_1}(S^{(n-1,1)})\widehat{\nu_2}(S^{(n-1,1)})\dots\widehat{\nu_k}(S^{(n-1,1)})],$$

where

$$(20) \quad \widehat{\nu_1}(S^{(n-1,1)}) = \left(\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \nu_1(\sigma) \chi_{S^{(n-1,1)}}(\sigma) \right) I_{n-1}$$

by (17). Consider the anatomy of the partition $(n-1, 1)$: under the Murnaghan-Nakayama rule (see Theorem 4.10.2 of [Sag10]), the only way for a single box to remain at the end is for the box in the second row to have been removed as a singleton, which requires a cycle type with at least two fixed points. This means that $\chi_{S^{(n-1,1)}}(\sigma) = 0$ if σ has one fixed point. On the other hand, if σ does not have exactly one fixed point, then $\nu_1(\sigma) = 0$. Thus $\widehat{\nu_1}(S^{(n-1,1)}) = \mathbf{0}$, which in turn implies that $E_{\mu_k}(\chi_{S^{(n-1,1)}}) = 0$, and hence the expected number of fixed points with respect to μ_k is one for all $k \geq 1$. \square

REFERENCES

- [AS65] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, eds., *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, Dover, New York, 1965.
- [Beh00] E. Behrends, *Introduction to Markov Chains (with Special Emphasis on Rapid Mixing)*, Vieweg Verlag, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden, 2000.
- [Dia88] P. Diaconis, *Group Representations in Probability and Statistics*, IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser. 11, Inst. Math. Statist., Hayward, CA, 1988.
- [FH91] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation Theory: A First Course*, GTM 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [GC06] A. Goupil and C. Chauve, *Combinatorial operators for Kronecker powers of representations of S_n* , Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, **54** (2006), B54j.
- [Sag10] B. E. Sagan, *The Symmetric Group: Representations, Combinatorial Algorithms, and Symmetric Functions*, 2nd ed., GTM 203, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2010.
- [Sta97] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. I*, Wadsworth, Monterey, CA, 1986, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 49, reprinted by Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, 209 SOUTH 33RD STREET, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104

E-mail address: shanshand@math.upenn.edu